1	system. FED. R. CIV. P. 37(e). Where spoilation occurs, the court has discretion to impose sanctions
2	against a litigant. Uniguard Sec. Ins. Co. v. Lakewood Eng'g & Mfg. Corp., 982 F.2d 363, 368 (9th
3	Cir. 1992).
4	The court finds that sanctions are not warranted in this case. The Caesars defendants
5	represent that they have conducted a "systematic and exhaustive search for emails related to OPM."
6	(Doc. #371, p.3). Caesars defendants have answered all of the plaintiffs' supplemental
7	interrogatories, and have represented that "from 2004, prior to execution of the joint defense
8	agreement between the Forum Defendants and the Caesars Defendants, to July 2009 when OPM
9	closed, the Caesars Defendants' email has been meticulously maintained in tape back-up archives."
10	(Doc. #371, p.4). The court is also satisfied that Caesars defendants have demonstrated that
11	additional information would be burdensome, time consuming, and very expensive to produce
12	Finally, in light of the massive amount of discovery already conducted and the parties' agreement
13	to proceed to trial, the court finds no reason to impose sanctions at this time.
14	Accordingly,
15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion for
16	sanctions against Caesars defendants for spoilation of evidence (doc. #362) is DENIED.
17	DATED this 24th day of March, 2011.
18	
19	
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21	CHIED STATES DISTRICT SUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge